

State of New York
Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: November 20, 2025

PM-263-25

In the Matter ATTORNEYS
IN VIOLATION OF
JUDICIARY LAW § 468-a.

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE
COMMITTEE FOR THE
THIRD JUDICIAL
DEPARTMENT,

DECISION AND ORDER
ON MOTION

Petitioner;

JOHN JAMES BATTISTI JR.,
Respondent.

(Attorney Registration No. 4711123).

Calendar Date: November 10, 2025

Monica A. Duffy, Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department, Albany (Alison M. Coan of counsel), for Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department.

John James Battisti Jr., Falls Church, Virginia, respondent pro se.

Motion by respondent for an order reinstating him to the practice of law following his suspension by May 2019 order of this Court (*Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a*, 172 AD3d 1706, 1711 [3d Dept 2019]; see Rules for Atty Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16; Rules of App Div, 3d Dept [22 NYCRR] § 806.16).

Upon reading respondent's affidavit sworn to October 7, 2025, his supplemental correspondence of October 15, 2025 and November 1, 2025, and the October 27, 2025 responsive correspondence from the Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department, and having determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that (1) respondent has complied with the order of suspension and the rules of this Court, (2) respondent has the requisite character and fitness to practice law, and (3) it would be in the public interest to reinstate respondent to the practice of law (*see* Rules for Atty Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [a]), we grant his motion for reinstatement.¹

ORDERED that respondent's motion for reinstatement is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent is reinstated as an attorney and counselor-at-law, effective immediately.

Reynolds Fitzgerald, J.P., Lynch, Fisher, McShan and Mackey, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert D. Mayberger". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly stylized font.

Robert D. Mayberger
Clerk of the Court

¹ In light of respondent's active-duty military status, among other considerations, we conclude that he has demonstrated his entitlement to a waiver of the requirements of Rules of the Appellate Division, Third Department (22 NYCRR) § 806.16 (c) (5) (*see* Military Law § 308-a).